Meeting Notice

A meeting of the Planning Unit for the WRIA 55 and 57 Local Watershed Planning program for will be held at:

Time: 10:00 am
Date: May 17, 2000
Place: Main Floor Conference Room
Spokane County Conservation District
210 N. Havana  Spokane, WA

Agenda

10:00 am  Call to Order - Introductions by Committee Members

10:05  Approval of meeting summaries for the April 19th meeting.

10:10  Technical Considerations

Planning Unit Recommendation on Ecology staffing report

Status of Request for Qualifications, Consultant Review/Selection schedule

Work Group Progress Reports: up to 10 minutes each

Task 2.1 – 2.3  Delineation
Task 3.1 – 3.3  Current Water Use
Task 5.1 – 5.2  Future Water Use

Task 6.1 – 6.3  Water Rights
Task 7    Water Quality

11:30  Committee Organization

Facilitator Qualifications
Set Summer Meeting Schedule
Consultant contract
Work Groups

11:45  Policy Considerations

11:50  Other items of Public or Committee Concern

11:59  Set next meeting date and location

12:00  Adjourn

If you have any questions regarding this notice contact Stan Miller at (509) 477-7259 or via e-mail at smiller@spokanecounty.org
Stan Miller called the meeting to order at 10:10 am.

Committee members recorded on the sign in sheets were:

- Pat Blau
- Gary Fergen
- Susan McGeorge
- Lloyd Brewer
- Steve Skipworth
- Ann Murphy
- Doug Allen
- Stan Miller
- Sandy Mack
- Joel White
- Walt Edelen
- Ty Wick
- Reanette Boese
- Dick Price
- Steve Davenport
- Gene St. Godard
- Sandy Mack

The meeting summary was approved by consensus of the group.

The Committee discussed the summary of projected staffing needs for Ecology’s participation in watershed planning. Most members felt this was a reasonable estimate in light of the rapidly changing initiative toward watershed planning. The city representative indicated that they wished to remain “uncommitted,” neither for or against the support level. The group consensus was that Stan Miller should write a letter of support to Melissa Gildersleve, Ecology’s Watershed Coordinator in Lacey.

Dick Price brought up the question of whether the Planning Unit should become involved in “lobbying” for changes in the basic watershed planning law. The ideas of additional funding and granting additional authority to implement plans were discussed briefly. Doug Allen indicated that the legislature appears to be sincere about continuing the funding for the program at current levels so the commitment of $500,000 per WRIA should be secure. It was pointed out that the current process is fairly tight on implementation of the items the IA’s accept by consensus. We will discuss the idea further as we get closer to the legislative session.

The RFQ for a technical consultant is out. The response period closes on May 31. The review of the paper submittals will be done on June 2 and interviews, if held, will be on June 15. So far between 4 and 6 submittal are expected. Hopefully we will be able to introduce the selected firm at the June meeting.

Work Group Report Summary:

Delineation –

Current Water Use – The work group is nearly finished with collecting data from the large purveyors. The water uses from the larger industries will be derived largely from waste discharge data that has been collected at this time.

Future Water Use

Water Rights
Water Quality – A data base for the Spokane River has been developed using about 10 sources representing over 40 years worth of data. Over 70 different water quality parameters are represented in the data. There are several additional sources yet to incorporate. The conservation district, as part of their non-point study in the LSR basin, is collecting the data on the Little Spokane.

The current target is to have the facilitator take over meetings in the fall. The committee indicated that the facilitator needs to have a basic understanding of the technical aspects of the work the Planning Unit is charged to do as well as be able to manage meetings.

The group agreed to not have full committee meetings in July and August. Some committee members including the IA’s will want to comment on the items in the work plan with the consultant that will be developed over the summer. The committee will be kept up to date on progress via periodic mailings.

The next meeting was set for June 21, 2000 at the same time and location. The meeting was adjourned at 11:25 am.