

## Meeting Notice

**A meeting of the Planning Unit for the WRIA 55 and 57 Local Watershed Planning program for will be held at:**

**Time: 10:00 am**  
**Date: November 29, 2000**  
**Place: Main Floor Conference Room**  
**Spokane County Conservation District**  
**210 N. Havana Spokane, WA**

Note: The meeting summary for the October 25<sup>th</sup> meeting and the Technical Memos on the various model options will be available at the meeting on the 29<sup>th</sup>. This meeting will be informational only; the decision on which model to use will not be made until December 6<sup>th</sup> at the earliest.

### Agenda

- 10:00 am Call to Order - Introductions by Committee Members**
- 10:10 Contract Status / Work Group Information Needs Discussion**  
Stan Miller, Spokane County WQMP
- 10:25 Introduction of Consultant Team and Presentation on Models**
- 11:15 Group Discussion of Models**  
Determine method for receiving input on selection from those not present at the meetings.
- 11:45 Other items of Public or Committee Concern**
- 11:55 Set next meeting date and location: Confirm Need for December 6 meeting to select Model**
- 12:00 Adjourn**

If you have any questions regarding this notice contact Stan Miller at (509) 477-7259 or via e-mail at [smiller@spokanecounty.org](mailto:smiller@spokanecounty.org)

## Meeting Summary Planning Unit

Little Spokane River – Middle Spokane River Local Watershed Plan  
November 29, 2000

Stan Miller called the meeting to order at 10:00

Committee members recorded on the sign in sheet were:

Lloyd Brewer	Steve Skipworth	Tom Hargreaves
Megan Harding	Reanette Boese	Roger Krieger
Stan Miller	Rick Noll	Steve Roberge
Joel White	Terry Liberty	Sandy Mack
Susan McGeorge	Walt Edelen	Bryony Hansen, Golder
Dave Jones	Julia McHugh	Chris Pitre, Golder
Leon Sproule	Gary Fergen	Bob Anderson, Golder

The meeting summary for the November 29 meeting was approved.

Stan Miller reported that there has been a change in workload at Spokane County and Laura Rumpler, the County's Public Information Specialist, will not be able to serve as facilitator for the Planning Unit. The Department of Ecology will provide interim support through the January meeting to allow us to select a facilitator.

Chris Pitre and Bob Anderson of Golder Associates presented information on nine model options. All of the models presented are surface water routing models that will allow us to "model" the water balance for a set of defined baseline conditions. The baseline conditions would be for the current flow system of ground water in the two basins. Following calibration to the baseline conditions, the model could be used to evaluate "what if" scenarios for historic and future conditions in the basins.

According to Golder, the data needs for the model presented are similar enough that selecting a model is not critical to performing the work outlined under the contract that will be done between now and the end of February. Golder suggests we use the three meetings remaining before March to carefully consider the results we want from the model. This will allow us to make the best choice.

The attached memo describes the general information presented by Golder. (Note: the memo was included in this mailing only for those not at the meeting on November 29<sup>th</sup>.) In addition, Golder provided a demonstration of the general way each of the models work and the nature of their output.

Golder recommended we start with by developing a simple surfaces water routing model using one of the models that can easily be expanded to include other elements as better information becomes available or the need for additional output arises.

There was considerable discussion about the capabilities of the various models and how they could handle specific problems. During the course of the discussion it was decided that the next meeting should be devoted to identifying the specific questions we want to answer with the model, who will maintain and run the model, who will use the model and what level of "user friendliness" needs to be in the output. The questions included in the Technical Memo from Chris Pitre provide a good starting point for this discussion.

The group concurred with the previously scheduled meeting for December 6<sup>th</sup> as the best December date. The meeting was adjourned at 11:55.