

WRIA 56 Watershed Plan: Status of Implementation Actions

As of March 1, 2011

Rec. #	Action	Action Status	Complete
R1.b	The City of Spokane, Spokane County, and Ecology will continue their water conservation programs, as deemed necessary.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Ongoing 	Ongoing
R1.b	The City of Spokane, Spokane County, and Ecology will continue evaluating reclamation and reuse options.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Ongoing The City of Spokane did a feasibility study in 2009. 	Ongoing
R1.b	The RWCC will continue to develop and coordinate regional conservation efforts.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The RWCC is doing this. 	X
R2.b	The WIT, with Spokane County as the lead, will identify upcoming GMA Comprehensive Plan updates and schedule time for review and comment with respect to water availability.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Spokane County will notify the WIT as appropriate. 	Ongoing
R2.b	The WIT will review and comment on GMA Comprehensive Plan updates with respect to water availability.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Spokane County will notify the WIT as appropriate. 	Ongoing
R3.a	The WIT will identify water available for acquisition and recommend that it be allocated according to the recommended priorities for future water rights allocations contained in the WRIA 56 Watershed Management Plan.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The SCCD and Washington Water Trust (WWT) have been discussing this with landowners. However, landowner concerns about relinquishments have thwarted these efforts. 	Ongoing
R3.a	Ecology will include recommended priorities for future water rights allocations for any reservations in instream rules adopted for WRIA 56.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Ecology will consider this if reservations are established. Instream rule is currently on hold with Ecology 	N/A
R3.b	Ecology will identify any existing cross-state regional groups as models for a possible cooperative agreement on cross-state water availability.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Ecology gave a list to the WIT. 	X
R3.b	The WIT, with close coordination with the CDA Tribe, will invite representatives of the Idaho Department of Water Resources and Benewah and Kootenai Counties to discuss initiating watershed-based negotiation to address cross-state water availability.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Bi-State meetings are occurring quarterly. The group prepared and submitted a \$1 Million dollar funding application through AWEF Program. Secured Funding in 2010-2012, \$1.2 Million. 	Ongoing
R4.a	The WIT will review the new water conservation requirements under the Municipal Water Law.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The WIT feels adequately informed on the conservation requirements in the Municipal Water Law. No additional action is needed. 	X
R4.a	The SCCD will ask water purveyors if they will collaborate with the WIT and other purveyors in the development and implementation of	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Brook Beeler sent a letter from the RWCC. 	X
R4.a	The SCCD will use the WIT's existing list of Group A and B purveyors in the watershed and check the status of their water system plans and conservation program updates with DOH.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The SCCD, in conjunction with Spokane County, will prepare a matrix of water system plans that addresses their conservation programs (R4.a) and their wellhead protection strategies (R10.a). DOH is also working on an updated list of Group B water purveyors. 	
R4.a	The WIT will review and comment, if necessary, on conservation goals developed by water purveyors in accordance with DOH rules under the Municipal Water Law	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> These will be reviewed as part of the WIT's review of water system plans, which is being coordinated by the SCCD. 	Ongoing
R4.a	The RWCC should coordinate a conservation e-mail list and invite purveyors to participate.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> This has been completed by the RWCC. 	X
R4.b	The WIT, with Ecology as the lead, will investigate and summarize funding sources for small town infrastructure updates to improve water management and conservation. At the invitation of these local governments, the WIT will assist in applying for funding for water infrastructure updates.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sara Hunt has provided information on an IACC workshop that provides information on funding options for infrastructure projects. The SCCD conducted a leak detection class for small towns and hired a contractor to detect leaks in the small town water systems in the Hangman Watershed. 	X
R4.c	The WIT and member agencies will send letters to legislative leaders and the Governor requesting that the "use it or lose it" requirement of state water law be changed to provide better incentives for conservation.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Susan wrote Ecology in Oct. of 08 and again in March of 09 requesting more information on relinquishment/"use it or lose it" requirements and water trusts, but has not received a response. The WIT will reconsider sending a letter to legislators after more information is gathered; in the meantime this action has been put on hold. 	On Hold
R4.d	Ecology will notify the WIT if it receives water rights requests for out-of-basin transfers.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Ecology has agreed to do this. 	Ongoing
R4.e	Ecology will brief the WIT on water trusts and water banking.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The WIT is waiting for a reply from Ecology on the inquiry Susan sent regarding water trusts and relinquishments. Walt/Lindsay will re-send the inquiry. A briefing may be scheduled if needed. 	On Hold
R4.f	The WIT will identify funding sources that could be used to match Conservation Futures funds.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> This was discussed at a WIT meeting in 2009. Most WIT priority projects are not eligible for Conservation Futures Funds. The SCCD will continue to advocate for changes to the eligibility requirements, as well as identify projects that may be eligible under existing Conservation Future eligibility requirements. 	Ongoing
R4.f	Spokane County, in cooperation with the City of Spokane, will bring recommendations to the WIT on how the Conservation Futures Program can be used for purchasing water rights.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> John Bottelli at County Parks briefed the WIT on the Conservation Futures Program at the September, 2009 meeting. John offered guidance and encouragement on how to present riparian projects for CFP funds. CFP funds may not be used to purchase water rights. 	X
R4.f	Depending on the potential of utilizing the Conservation Futures Program for purchasing water rights, the WIT will develop an implementation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> CFP funds may not be used to purchase water rights. A Water Trust Program may be the best option. 	N/A
R4.g	The RWCC should continue to coordinate a regional water conservation education program.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The RWCC is doing this. 	X
R4.h	Water purveyors, wastewater utilities, and others implementing conservation programs should keep the WIT informed of their efforts.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> WIT members have agreed to do this. 	Ongoing

R4.i	Ecology will provide the WIT with examples of other basins' drought management plans.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sara has provided a copy of the state's report on the response to the 2005 drought. Sara has not been able to find model drought management plans from other watersheds. 	X
R4.i	The WIT will discuss the goals of a drought management plan and prepare an outline of plan components. This work should be conducted with the Spokane County Department of Emergency Management, and should include a review of the 'Spokane County Multi-jurisdiction All Hazard Mitigation Plan'.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> This is on hold until 2012 	Delayed
R4.i	The WIT will prepare an outline of a scope of work, a preliminary budget and a list of potential funding sources to prepare a drought management plan.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> This is on hold until 2012 	Delayed
R4.i	The SCCD will hire a contractor to develop a watershed drought management plan. The plan will include specific actions to be taken to conserve and preserve water in the basin.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> This will be part of the drought management plan, which is delayed pending funding. 	Delayed
R5.a	The WIT (with staff support from the SCCD) will identify priority areas, prepare a detailed Scope of Work outline, develop a budget, and identify potential funding sources to study ground water connections between sub-basins.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The SCCD has conducted a Groundwater Monitoring Project to be completed June 30,2011. 	X
R5.b	The WIT (with staff support from the SCCD) will identify priority areas, prepare a detailed Scope of Work outline, develop a budget, and identify potential funding sources for monitoring of ground water levels to identify potential aquifer mining.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The SCCD has conducted a Groundwater Monitoring Project to be completed June 30,2011. 	X
R5.d	The WIT, with the SCCD as the lead, in consultation with Ecology, will identify potential locations for a gauging station between the upper and	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The location of the Duncan gauge was selected. An upgraded gauge was installed and is in full operation in 2008. 	X
R5.d	The SCCD will install a gauge between the upper and lower watershed, if appropriate resources are identified, and will continuously collect and maintain data from the gauge.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The gauge was installed in 2008 and data are being collected. 	X
R5.e	The SCCD will identify ongoing funding to maintain the gauging station near the state line.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Short-term funding is secured; ongoing funding is not yet available. SCCD will notify the WIT if long-term funding options become available. The SCCD has used both Ecology and SCCD funds to maintain and upgrade the gages in Hangman Creek. 	X
R5.e	The SCCD will monitor the data from the gauging station near the state line.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The data are being monitored by the SCCD. An updated gage was installed in 2009. 	X
R6.a	The WIT will coordinate a regional meeting with Ecology and other WRIAs to identify the appropriate actions and resources required to address compliance and enforcement of water rights and claims. Following the meeting, the WIT, in coordination with other regional WRIAs, will communicate its concerns to Ecology regarding appropriate compliance activities. The letter will be copied to appropriate state legislators.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The meeting was held May 6, 2009. WRIA 55/57 sent a letter to Jay Manning and received a reply. WRIA 56 will collaborate with WRIA 55/57 and possibly send a letter with specific WRIA 56 concerns/examples in the future. The budget downturn has made increased compliance actions more difficult due cuts in resources at Ecology. 	Partially Complete
R6.b	The SCCD will send a questionnaire to water rights holders to determine the need and level of support for adjudication in the watershed.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> This will require funding. This needs to be coordinated with the State's efforts on adjudication 	Delayed
R7.a	Spokane County will investigate whether block grant funding is available to cover multiple jurisdictions' investigations of unaccounted water in their public water systems.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Spokane County confirmed that block grant funding is available. 	X
R7.a	The WIT will encourage the towns of Spangle, Rockford, Tekoa, and Latah, and other applicable water systems, to request grant funding in order to	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> SCCD has attended all the town council meetings and discussed this as part of the larger briefing on the watershed plan/DIP. 	X
R7.b	The SCCD will develop a mentoring program and provide other assistance and encouragement for no-till/direct seed tillage operations throughout the watershed.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The SCCD has received a grant from the Governor's office as part of an agricultural pilot project. The final report was distributed to the WIT. The AWEP program provides mentoring The 2010 Direct Seed Mentoring Program addresses this. 	X
R7.b	The SCCD will identify landowners in the Rock Creek sub-watershed who may be willing to reforest lands and will explore funding options with the Conservation Reserve Program. Stream flow changes will be monitored by the existing SCCD gauge on Rock Creek.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> SCCD keeps towns and cities informed of the options, and attended town meetings in Rockford where they discussed the benefits of reforestation. The Rock Creek gauge is being regularly monitored. 	On Hold
R7.b	The SCCD will explore funding and cost-sharing options for landowners to build living snow fences, and will explore potential cost savings from road plowing.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The SCCD would like to partner with Spokane County on a pilot project. Rob discussed this with County staff. The SCCD will continue to work on this with Spokane County 	In progress
R7.b	The WIT will include education components in projects and in newsletters to inform watershed residents about the stream augmentation efforts in the watershed and their importance.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> There have not been any recent articles but these could be included as desired by the WIT. Education component are routinely incorporated into projects 	Ongoing
R7.b	The SCCD and WIT members will continue to involve landowners in building catchment basins, living and constructed snow fences, and vegetated buffer strips throughout the watershed.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The SCCD continues to do this through their BMP cost-share programs. 	Ongoing
R7.b	The SCCD will coordinate regional grant requests to fund acquisition or restoration of historic and current wetland sites, including purchasing conservation easements or development rights.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The SCCD has worked with Ducks Unlimited on grant applications, although there are not current projects. The Wolfrum's recently sold 67 acres (wetlands) to the WRP program in the Hangman watershed. 	Ongoing
R7.c	Ecology will provide a presentation and written policy guidance to the WIT explaining the required water rights source change process.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> This was briefly covered at the May 6th briefing on water rights. Ecology's policy statement was distributed via e-mail on 6/23/08. 	X

R8.a	The SCCD, Spokane County, and City of Spokane will continue to participate in the development and implementation of the Lake Spokane and Spokane River TMDLs. They will provide periodic briefings and updates to the WIT.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The SCCD, Spokane County, and City of Spokane are all active participants in the TMDL process. 	X
R8.b	The SCCD and the WIT will assist and coordinate in the development of a cross-state group of stakeholders to organize and implement efforts to protect and improve water quality in the Hangman (Latah) Creek watershed.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Meetings occur quarterly. The AWEPP program is in place Livestock Inventory Program is in place. The Bi-State Group meets quarterly. 	Ongoing
R8.b	The SCCD, Spokane County, City of Spokane, and the CDA Tribe will continue to participate in the development and implementation of the Hangman (Latah) TMDL, and will provide periodic briefings and updates to the WIT.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The SCCD, Spokane County, the City of Spokane and the CDA Tribe are all active in the TMDL process. The Hangman Creek TMDL was completed and approved June 2009. DEQ's TMDL for Hangman in Idaho has also been completed. The Tribe TMDL is not complete. 	In Progress
R8.c	The WIT will review the prioritized water quality data needs listed in the Hangman (Latah) TMDL.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The WIT was briefed on the status of the TMDL. No action is needed until the TMDL DIP is completed. 	Delayed
R8.c	If identified high-priority data gaps in the Hangman (Latah) TMDL are not already addressed in this DIP, the WIT will develop implementation strategies to address those gaps and to seek funding.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The WIT was briefed on the status of the TMDL. No action is needed until the TMDL DIP is completed. 	Delayed
R8.d	The SCCD, in coordination with USGS and WIT members, will create a work plan through the TMDL process to collect and analyze sediment loading data.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The TMDL participants are working on this. Several attempts to secure sediment monitoring funding have been pursued but have not been successful to date. 	In Progress
R8.e	The WIT will brainstorm potential funding sources to maintain stream monitoring operations.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Action Complete. Ongoing funding is not available; however the SCCD continues to search for funding avenues. The WA State Conservation Commission is one possibility, the SCCD County Assessment is another, and WIT Implementation funds are another. 	X
R8.e	The SCCD will prepare grant applications or otherwise obtain funding for stream monitoring.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The SCCD continues to pursue available grant funds. 	Ongoing
R8.g	Spokane County should consider amendments to the Critical Areas Ordinance (CAO) and Shoreline Master Plan (SMP) to minimize the impacts of stock watering on surface water.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> These amendments need to be considered during the next updates of the SMP and CAO. The CAO was revised by Spokane County in June of 2008. Spokane County will notify the WIT of upcoming revisions to the CAO and SMP. 	Ongoing
R8.g	WIT members and the WIT as a whole will provide comments to Spokane County on suggested amendments to the CAO.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The updated CAO was approved in June of 2008. Spokane County will inform the WIT of the next update and opportunity for comments. 	N/A
R8.g	The SCCD, in cooperation and coordination with the WIT, will offer technical assistance to landowners to address stock watering impacts to surface waters.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The SCCD continues to do this through their BMP cost-share programs. 	Ongoing
R8.g, .h	The SCCD will write grant applications for programs for fencing, off-creek livestock watering systems, and other means to minimize stock water impacts to surface waters, including long-term cost share projects with stable funding.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The SCCD has received an Ecology 319/Centennial grant for and will receive a \$250,000 grant, part of which will include an inventory of livestock operations in Hangman Creek; another part will fund improvement projects such as off creek watering, fencing, etc. 	Ongoing
R8.h	The SCCD will apply its available cost-share monies for BMP implementation towards off-creek livestock watering systems.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> SCCD currently has a program with the WA State Conservation Commission to fund water quality implementation actions such as this. DIF and 319 funds are also possibilities. The SCCD will finish a BMP project near the Rock Creek confluence with Hangman Creek which included bank stabilization, re-vegetation, fencing and off-creek watering for livestock in summer 2011. 	X
R8.i	The SCCD will develop an action plan that identifies priority riparian areas and incentives for landowners to improve such areas, contingent on an infrastructure framework in place to protect these improvements.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The SCCD prepared a riparian plan in 2009 	X
R8.i	The SCCD will begin implementing the action plan to improve riparian zones, as funding allows.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The riparian plan has been finalized. The SCCD has completed willow planting in the upper watershed, where they planted 5,000 willows with volunteers from Trout Unlimited, the Land Council and SCCD. The SCCD will finish a project near the Rock Creek confluence with Hangman Creek which included bank stabilization, re-vegetation, fencing and off-creek watering for livestock in 2011. 	X
R9.a	The WIT will facilitate a meeting with Spokane Regional Health District staff to discuss optimal education programs for septic system owners.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The TMDL DIP will address On-Site Septic issues. The WIT was briefed on the status of the TMDL. No action is needed until the TMDL DIP is completed. 	Delayed
R9.a	The WIT will encourage the Spokane Regional Health District to develop and conduct an annual mailing of educational materials to all septic owners, which would inform septic owners of inspections regulations.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The TMDL DIP will address On-Site Septic issues. The WIT will delay actions until after the TMDL DIP is finalized. 	Delayed
R9.b	The WIT will meet with staff from the Spokane Regional Health District to discuss compliance with septic system inspection requirements, and means for improving compliance.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The TMDL DIP will address On-Site Septic issues. The WIT will delay actions until after the TMDL DIP is finalized. 	Delayed
R9.b	The WIT may develop specific implementation actions for a septic system maintenance program, based on discussions with the Health District.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The TMDL DIP will address On-Site Septic issues. The WIT will delay actions until after the TMDL DIP is finalized. 	Delayed
R9.c	The WIT will meet with the Spokane Regional Health District to develop a list of possible incentives for septic system replacements and upgrades, and to identify potential funding sources.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The TMDL DIP will address On-Site Septic issues. The WIT will delay actions until after the TMDL DIP is finalized. 	Delayed

R10.a	The WIT will invite small towns to participate in identifying and addressing wellhead protection needs.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> A strategy is needed to address wellhead protection in small communities. 	
R10.a	The WIT will facilitate a meeting of interested representatives of small communities to discuss options for wellhead protection.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The SCCD will inquire whether small communities are interested in this. 	Delayed
R10.a	A sub-committee of the WIT will review new and updated Water System Plans' wellhead protection strategies as part of the ongoing review of Water Systems Plans' consistency with the WRIA 56 Watershed Management Plan.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The WIT no longer is required to review water system plans. Thus, a new method to assess wellhead protections strategies is needed. 	Delayed
R10.b, .d	Ecology, in collaboration with DOH, will contact the Rural Community Assistance Corporation to prepare a list of potential funding sources for wellhead protection in smaller communities.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sara has provided information on an IACC workshop that provides information on funding options for infrastructure projects. The workshop will take place on Nov. 5 in Wenatchee. Walt will try to attend and will encourage representatives from small cities in WRIA 56 to attend as well. Sara provided a link to the Dept. of Health Wellhead protection program guidance, which includes information about how to finance or seek funding to implement the required program. http://www.doh.wa.gov/ehp/dw/Publications/331-118_wellhead_protection_program_guidance_document.pdf Walt will distribute the link to small cities. 	X
R10.c	The WIT will have a briefing by Ecology and/or other stormwater experts on options to improve stormwater management in small communities. Representatives of small communities will be invited to participate.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The briefing was held in 2009, although there was no participation by small communities. 	X
R11.a	The WIT will work with small cities in the Whitman County portion of WRIA 56 to develop a process for SEPA review that ensures consistency with the WRIA 56 Watershed Management Plan.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Whitman County is providing information on projects. Additional work on SEPA review may be of interest to the WIT 	Ongoing
R11.a	Spokane County will identify all small cities within Spokane County that do not have an agreement with the county for SEPA review. The WIT will work with these cities to develop a process for SEPA review that ensures consistency with the WRIA 56 Watershed Management Plan.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Spokane County Planning processes land use actions and SEPA for Spangle. The department administers the building codes for Fairfield and Rockford which would include SEPA review for any buildings triggering the SEPA process. The other small towns are on their own Walt sent a letter to all small cities (except Spangle) asking to be notified of SEPA actions. 	X
R11.a	The WIT will meet with appropriate Spokane and Whitman County planning personnel to develop a process for WIT input and comment on development proposals.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The WIT has prepared a list of the types of development proposals they would like to review. The County has agreed to provide information on these development proposals to the SCCD/the WIT 	X
R11.a	The SCCD will determine which specific development/construction documents the WIT wants to review and will identify a process to obtain those documents.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The WIT has prepared a list of the types of development proposals they would like to review. Rob will work with County staff on the next steps. 	X
R11.a	The WIT will review all appropriate development /construction proposals during SEPA review and provide comments on the proposals' consistency with the WRIA 56 Watershed Management Plan.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> SCCD receives SEPA notifications from Spokane and Whitman counties and notify the WIT of relevant projects. 	Ongoing
R11.a	The SCCD will serve as the single point of contact responsible for distributing appropriate development/construction proposals within the watershed to the rest of the WIT and scheduling relevant agenda items at WIT meetings.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The WIT has prepared a list of the types of development proposals they would like to review. The County has agreed to provide information on these development proposals to the SCCD/the WIT 	Ongoing
R11.b	WIT members from local governments will notify the WIT of upcoming land use changes.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> WIT members have agreed to do this. 	Ongoing
R11.b	The SCCD will prepare comment letters on behalf of the WIT regarding County and City land use planning.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The WIT/SCCD provides comment letters when appropriate, and when notice is received in time. Spokane County also provides their own comments when necessary. 	Ongoing
R11.b, .c	The SCCD, with support from WIT members, will prepare a list of scheduled updates or revisions to local comprehensive plans, development regulations, CAO, SMP, and other land use regulations. The	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Spokane County and the City of Spokane will inform the WIT of updates or revisions to comp plans, development regulations, etc. Walt is receiving notice of actions from Whitman County 	X
R11.c	The WIT will schedule briefings on current updates to SMPs or CAOs at WIT meetings as appropriate.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Spokane County and the City of Spokane will notify the WIT as appropriate. 	Ongoing
R11.c	WIT members, as needed, will send letters to the appropriate officials commenting on whether proposed SMP or CAO revisions are consistent with the WRIA 56 Watershed Management Plan.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> WIT members will notify the WIT as appropriate. 	Ongoing
R11.c	WIT members, with coordination by the SCCD, will note any SMPs or CAOs that are inconsistent with the WRIA 56 Watershed Management Plan.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> WIT members will distribute revised CAO and proposed SMP for review by WIT members. 	Ongoing
R11.c	If applicable, cities and counties will consider WIT-proposed changes to the SMP and/or CAO.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> WIT member agencies have agreed to present WIT comments to the appropriate entity in their jurisdiction. 	Ongoing
R11.d	The WIT will review Spokane County's proposed revisions to the CAO and SMP, and coordinate with the Spokane County Planning Department.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The CAO was revised by Spokane County in June of 2008. Spokane County notified the WIT of upcoming revisions to the CAO and SMP. SMP amendments are done. 	Ongoing
R11.d	The WIT will review Whitman County's proposed revisions to the CAO and SMP, and will coordinate with staff of the Whitman County Planning Department.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> SCCD has been added to Whitman County's SEP notification list and will receive e-mail notification of Planning Commission agendas and workshops. 	X
R11.d	As proposals for development within the 100-year floodplain arise in the WRIA, WIT members will request that the issue be placed on the WIT agenda. After review and discussion, the WIT will then decide whether to suggest specific mitigation measures for the project	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> It is difficult to identify developments on the floodplain; they are not specifically noted or flagged. WIT members will alert the WIT if they are aware of floodplain development proposals. 	Ongoing

R11.e	Spokane County and Cities in the WRIA will inform the WIT of the buffer requirements and other BMPs included in their SMPs and CAOs.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The WIT was briefed and submitted comments on both the City of Spokane's SMP, and the County's proposed SMP. 	Ongoing
R11.e	The SCCD will develop a checklist of BMPs that WIT members can use to review development proposals that are subject to the jurisdiction of local shoreline management regulations.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The WIT decided it was not a good use of resources for the SCCD to develop a standard list of BMPs. 	N/A
R11.e	The WIT and WIT members will provide comment and input on proposed streamside/shoreline land uses and suggest riparian buffers and other BMPs that should be required.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The WIT provided comments on the City of Spokane and Spokane County SMP updates. The WIT will continue to provide comments as appropriate. 	Ongoing
R11.e	The City of Spokane and Spokane County will inform the WIT of the buffer requirements and other BMPs included in their SMPs and CAOs.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The WIT was briefed on both the City of Spokane's SMP, and the County's proposed SMP. 	Ongoing
R11.f	The SCCD will continue current technical assistance programs for streamside landowners, increase individual contacts as funding allows, and inform streamside landowners of technical assistance programs such as NRCS, WSU Extension, FSA, etc. The SCCD will continue to work with the Pend Oreille Conservation District when necessary to coordinate or inform about activities.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The SCCD continues to provide technical assistance upon request. Recently the SCCD was contacted by a landowner on Hangman regarding an eroding stream bank, and to participate on the Qualchan GC stream bank stabilization project in 2010 and 2011. The SCCD completed the Rock Creek Stabilization project (700 feet) in 2008. The SCCD assisted WSDOT with mitigation for upcoming Safety Corridor Project in Hangman. The SCCD is completing a large bioengineered stabilization project just below Rock Creek confluence (1500 feet) in the summer of 2011. The AWEP Program can be used for streambank stabilization projects in 2011. 	Ongoing
R11.g	The WIT will request that Spokane County hire staff with technical backgrounds (e.g. a wetlands specialist) to review development	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> A letter was sent to Spokane County requesting that a wetlands specialist be hired (or contracted for) to review development applications. 	X
R11.g	The WIT, with the SCCD as lead, will review the number and type of waivers, exemptions, and other exceptions granted to the SMP and CAO requirements, and will consider submitting comments opposing exceptions it considers to be inconsistent with the adopted Watershed Management Plan. The first step in this process will be to establish a process to receive notice and copies of waivers, exemptions, etc.	<p>Exemptions to shoreline regulations are listed in Spokane County's Plus system at www.spokanecounty.org/bp/pubplus/</p>	X
R11.h	The WIT will prioritize locations for greenbelts or conservancy corridors, and begin working with landowners.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> This was done during the development of the Riparian Plan 	X
R11.h	The SCCD will research and define the terms "greenbelts" and "corridors".	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Walt brought model definitions for the terms "greenbelt" and "corridor" to the Sept. WIT meeting. The WIT is most interested in riparian corridors and other areas that directly affect the watershed (wetlands, key drainages, etc.). 	X
R11.h	The SCCD will identify locations where property owners may sell or lease land for greenbelts or conservancy corridors and will summarize	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Options are addressed in the riparian plan. 	Ongoing
R11.i	The SCCD will conduct education and outreach with public and elected officials regarding the importance and economic value of protecting channel migration zones.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> SCCD will brief local public officials as needed when local ordinances are being revised. This is a part of CAO and SMP updates. 	Ongoing
R11.i	Local governments should incorporate the delineated channel migration zone into their floodplain, stormwater, shoreline, and critical area codes, and add it to local SEPA check lists.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Flood hazard Management Plan of 2000 and the SCCD Proper Functioning Conditions (PFC) study for Hangman Creek has been adopted and utilized by consultants preparing the SMP updates for both the County and the City. Channel Migration Zone (CMZ) delineations were utilized; it is unclear if this has been added to SEPA checklists. 	In Progress
R11.j	The SCCD will obtain more information on funding opportunities to reassess 100-year floodplain delineation under FCAAP.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The SCCD provided comments on the draft FEMA map on behalf of the WIT. 	X
R11.j	Ecology, upon request of the WIT, will coordinate with the Spokane County Engineering office, FEMA and the WIT to pursue resources to reassess the boundaries of the FEMA 100-year floodplain.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The SCCD provided comments on the draft FEMA map on behalf of the WIT. 	X
I don't thR	If necessary, the WIT will prioritize river sections to be reassessed for floodplain extent.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> This was completed and submitted when Ecology reassessed the 100 year floodplain with USGS. 	X
R11.j	The WIT will support funding requests for the 100-year floodplain delineation.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> New delineations were created in 2009. The SCCD provided comments on the updated FEMA maps. 	X
R11.j	Ecology will regularly update the WIT on the status of the FEMA 100-year floodplain review.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Ecology will do this at the WIT's request 	Ongoing
R11.k	The SCCD will apply for FCAAP or other funding to study hazards within the 100-year floodplain, and will identify other monies for a match of up	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The SCCD continues to seek funding for this as it is appropriate. 	Ongoing
R11.k	The SCCD will apply for FCAAP or other funding to purchase or otherwise acquire land or structures within the 100-year floodplain, or to relocate hazardous structures.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> This needs discussion at a future meeting. 	Not Done
R11.l	The SCCD, Ecology, Spokane County, Whitman County and other local governments will meet to coordinate a public riparian education program, including a series of workshops.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The SCCD has a lot of education materials on riparian issues and continues to distribute these. This may be something the Bi-State group can address 	Ongoing
R11.m	The WIT will facilitate a meeting with Spokane and Whitman County departments of Emergency Management Service to discuss options for a coordinated flood response plan.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> There is a need to talk to small towns that may be impacted by flooding. These communities want a plan. Reanette contacted EMS staff in Spokane County. There are not funds currently to prepare a flood response plan, and therefore a meeting would not be fruitful. EMS staff will alert Reanette of grant opportunities. 	

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The National Weather Service may have a flood warning system that could be used. 	ed Pending Fur
R11.n	Spokane County will begin the non-point source study for the Spokane River TMDL.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Implementation of the riparian plan will implement this recommendation. The actions regarding the TMDL will be addressed in the TMDL DIP. 	Ongoing
R11.n	The WIT will develop specific riparian restoration needs for WRIA 56 based on TMDL-related modeling and studies of soils and non-point sources.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Implementation of the riparian plan will implement this recommendation. The actions regarding the TMDL will be addressed in the TMDL DIP. 	Ongoing
R11.n	The SCCD will complete the modeling and soil studies for the Hangman TMDL.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> This will be addressed in the TMDL DIP. 	N/A
R11.n	The SCCD, or whoever is specifically identified in the TMDL studies, will conduct riparian restoration activities.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Implementation of the riparian plan will implement this recommendation. 	N/A
R11.n	WIT member agencies will seek funding to implement priority restoration projects.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The SCCD received 319/centennial grants for direct seed and livestock improvement efforts. The Bi-State group has also submitted an AWEP proposal. The SCCD is partnering with American Farmland Trust on a CIG Grant to develop an environmental marketing program for Hangman Creek. This is basically a pollutant trading program. 	Ongoing
R11.o	The SCCD will identify high priority shorelines and willing landowners as model funding recipients for the Conservation Futures Program.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> This was addressed during the development of the Riparian Plan finished in 2009. 	X
R11.o	The SCCD, with support from the WIT, will determine the steps necessary to revise the policies of the Conservation Futures Program, and will initiate dialogue with key entities to encourage a change in policy towards reserving funds for acquisition (including purchase, rental, lease, and	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The WIT was briefed on the Conservation Futures Program in Sept. of '09. Walt raised the issue of changing the policy and is following up with John Bottelli on this. 	Ongoing
R11.p	The SCCD will seek willing landowners along high priority shorelines to be considered for the Conservation Futures Program.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Implementation of the riparian plan will implement this recommendation. 	Ongoing
R11.p	The WIT will use the SCCD riparian assessment tool to identify high priority shorelines.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Implementation of the riparian plan will implement this recommendation. 	Ongoing
R11.q	The SCCD will apply for continued funding for its riparian buffer cost-share program.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The SCCD has applied for implementation funds from WSCC. 	Ongoing
R12.a	The SCCD will identify and map all fish barriers within the mainstem and tributaries.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The SCCD completed a Fish Passage Barrier Inventory in 2009. 	X
R12.a	If funding is secured for identifying and mapping fish barriers, the SCCD, with participation from Ecology, WDFW, the CDA Tribe, and the WIT, will assess the benefits and impacts of removal of fish barriers, and develop an action plan for barrier removal where it is expected to have a high benefit.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> This was addressed in the Fish Passage Barrier Inventory completed in 2009. 	X
R12.b	The SCCD will complete Proper Functioning Condition (PFC) assessments on Spangle Creek, Cove Creek, Little Hangman Creek, and Rattler Run as funding and staff resources allow.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> These have all been completed in 2005. 	X
R12.c	Avista will evaluate their dams to determine whether the current hydrology is capable of supporting flows for returning salmonids, supported by a technical team including the CDA tribe and WDFW.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> This was included as part of the FERC re-licensing process. 	X